The Photochemistry of 2-Hydroxyiminocyclododecanone

By Stewart McLean,* James Wong, and Peter Yates*
(Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A1)

Summary Irradiation of (E)-2-hydroxyiminocyclododecanone (1) in methanolic solution through quartz with a medium-pressure mercury lamp gives (Z)-2-hydroxyiminocyclododecanone (2), 1-hydroxy-12-hydroxyiminobicyclo[8 2 0]dodecane (3), (2-oxocyclodecane)acetonitrile (6), and methyl undec-10-enoate (7), compounds (3), (6), and (7) are considered to be formed via Norrish type II processes

Stojiljković and Tasovac¹ have reported that the irradi-

ation of (E)-2-hydroxyiminocyclododecanone (1) in methanolic solution through quartz with a low-pressure mercury Hanau lamp NK6/20 gives, after 45 h, a mixture of the starting material and compounds (2)—(5) Their report of the formation of (4) is of particular interest in that this would provide a route for the conversion of even-into odd-numbered cycloalkanones

We have examined the photochemistry of (1) in methanolic solution in quartz with a Hanovia $450\,\mathrm{W}$ mediumpressure mercury lamp and have obtained results that

differ in some respects from those previously reported. Irradiation for 4—12 h gave compounds to which we assign the structures (2), (3), (6), and (7).

The initially formed product (2) was previously assigned¹ the (Z) configuration and we concur with this on the basis of a comparison of the chemical shifts of the C-3 carbons in the 13 C n.m.r. spectra of (1) (δ 26·1 p.p.m.) and (2) $(\delta \ 30.6 \ p.p.m.)^2$ and the observation that (2) reverts to (1) on heating or standing at room temperature.

Compound (3), m.p. 121—123 °C (lit., 120—122 °C) was isolated in 40% yield after irradiation of (1) for 6 h. We have confirmed the earlier structural assignment by 13C n.m.r. spectroscopy (δ 83·4 and 164·2 p.p.m.) and consider it to be the cis-fused isomer, analogous to the corresponding product formed on irradiation of 2-methylenecyclododecanone.3

Compound (6)† was isolated in 5% yield as a colourless, viscous oil after irradiation of (1) for 12 h. Its structure was assigned on the basis of spectroscopic data [i.r. v 2247 (w) and 1706 cm⁻¹; 1 H n.m.r. δ 2·3—2·6 (m, 3H) and 2.8-3.2 (m, 2H); 13 C n.m.r. δ 39.9, 48.2, 118.6, and 212.1 p.p.m.] and its independent synthesis by alkylation of 2-methoxycarbonylcyclodecanone (8) with chloroacetonitrile followed by demethoxycarbonylation. Compound (6) was also obtained in 57% yield by treatment of (3) with pyridine and acetic anhydride. A similar second-order Beckmann fragmentation, to give (6), occurred with long exposure of (3) to silica gel or on irradiation of (3) under the conditions of the original irradiation of (1).4 Thus its formation during the latter irradiation can be ascribed to a secondary photolysis of the initially formed (3).

We obtained no evidence for the formation of a second nitrile corresponding to (4). It is perhaps significant that Stojiljković and Tasovac¹ hydrolysed the product that they considered to be (4) and obtained a product considered to be the β -keto acid (9), but did not note that it readily underwent decarboxylation. We have hydrolysed (6) in boiling 10% ethanolic potassium hydroxide to give (10), m.p. 73—74 °C [i.r. v 3330—2900 and 1712 cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. δ 2·2—3·0 (m, 5H) and 9·5 (br s, 1H); ¹³C n.m.r. δ 36·2, 40.3, 47.8, 178.6, and 215.1 p.p.m.], whose structure was confirmed by its independent synthesis by the alkylation of (8) with methyl bromoacetate followed by hydrolysis and monodecarboxylation. As expected for a y-keto acid, (10) does not readily undergo decarboxylation. Although our photolysis conditions were different from those of the earlier workers, it seems not unlikely that the nitrile obtained by them was, in fact, (6).

Compound (7) was isolated in 13% yield as a colourless, viscous oil after irradiation of (1) for 12 h. Its structure was assigned on the basis of spectroscopic data [i.r. v 1742, 1656 (w), 995 (m), and 913 (m) cm⁻¹; ${}^{1}H$ n.m.r. δ 3.67 (s, 3H), 4·8-5·1 (m, 2H), and 5·5-6·0 (m, 1H)] and comparison with an authentic sample.

$$CH_{2}=CH[CH_{2}]_{8}C=C=NOH$$

$$(12)$$

$$VOH$$

$$(11)$$

$$CH_{2}=CH[CH_{2}]_{8}COCN \xrightarrow{MeOH} (7)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(13)$$

The formation of (3) can readily be interpreted in terms of a Norrish type II process involving the carbonyl-group.⁵ We proposed that the formation of (7) also involves such a process, with the diradical intermediate (11) undergoing fragmentation to $(12)^6$ followed by dehydration to (13). Methanolysis of (13) could then lead to (7).7 Another pathway involving photocleavage of the four-membered ring of (3) is excluded by the observation that (7) is not formed on irradiation of (3) under the conditions of the irradiation of (1). Since we have established that (6) is formed in a secondary reaction from (3), the photochemistry of (1) was observed to be dominated by syn-anti photoisomerization of the oxime group8 and Norrish type II processes involving the carbonyl-group.

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support of this work.

(Received, 9th May 1980; Com. 497.)

- † Satisfactory elemental analytical data were obtained for all new compounds.
- ¹ A. Stojiljković and R. Tasovac, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 1405.
- G. E. Hawkes, K. Herwig, and J. D. Roberts, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 1017.
 R. A. Cormier, W. L. Schrieber, and W. C. Agosta, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 4873.
 M. Onda and K. Takeuchi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1975, 23, 677; P. Baas and H. Cerfontain, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1979,
 - ⁵ P. Baas and H. Cerfontain, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 1501.
- ⁶ R. W. Binkley, Synth. Commun., 1976, 6, 281; E. S. Huyser and D. C. Neckers, J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 276.
 ⁷ F. Hibbert and D. P. N. Satchell, J. Chem. Soc., (B), 1967, 653, 755.
- ⁸ P. Baas and H. Cerfontain, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1979, 151.